

CITY OF ALAMO HEIGHTS  
CITY COUNCIL  
May 27, 2025

A special meeting of the City Council of the City of Alamo Heights, Texas was held at the Council Chamber, located at 6116 Broadway, San Antonio, Texas, at 5:30 p.m. on Tuesday, May 27, 2025. A teleconference was held via Zoom; staff and meeting attendees were welcomed in the Council Chamber.

Composing a quorum were:

Mayor Albert Honigblum  
Mayor Pro Tem Trey Jacobson  
Councilmember Karl P. Baker  
Councilmember Blake M. Bonner  
Councilmember Lynda Billa Burke

Also attending were:

City Manager Buddy Kuhn  
City Attorney Jessie Lopez  
Assistant to City Manager Jennifer Reyna  
City Secretary Elsa T. Robles  
Interim Finance Director Cynthia Barr  
Community Development Services Director Lety Hernandez  
Police Chief Rick Pruitt  
Fire Chief Allen Ottmers  
Deputy Police Chief Cindy Pruitt  
Public Works Director Frank Orta

Not attending:

Councilmember Lawson Jessee  
Assistant City Manager Phil Laney

\* \* \*

Mayor Albert Honigblum opened the meeting at 5:32 p.m.

\* \* \*

*Item # 1      Approval of Minutes*

Mayor Honigblum asked the City Council for a motion on the April 28, 2025 City Council Meeting minutes. Councilmember Karl P. Baker moved to approve the minutes as presented. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Lynda Billa Burke and passed by a 4-0 vote.

Mayor Honigblum asked the City Council for a motion on the May 12, 2025 City Council Meeting minutes. Councilmember Blake M. Bonner moved to approve the minutes as presented. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Billa Burke and passed by a 4-0 vote.

\* \* \*

*Item # 2      Announcements*

Mayor Honigblum read the following caption.

**a. TxDOT Open House on Lower Broadway Improvement Project, May 28, 2025**

City Manager Buddy Kuhn announced TxDOT will host an open house at Alamo Heights United Methodist Church from 5:00 PM to 7:00 PM to roll-out the lower Broadway project they have been designing for the last two years to provide information for the public and answer related questions. Mr. Kuhn stated interested people could join the open house virtually and additional information can be found by using the QR Code provided by TxDOT.

**b. Strategic Action Plan Work Session, June 5, 2025**

City Secretary Elsa T. Robles stated the annual Strategic Action Plan (SAP) work session is scheduled for Thursday, June 5<sup>th</sup> at 8:30 AM and it is open to the public.

**c. The Argyle Specific Use Permit (SUP) Work Session, June 5, 2025**

Ms. Robles announced a second work session is scheduled for June 5<sup>th</sup> to discuss the Argyle Specific Use Permit. This is also open to the public and will begin at 5:30 PM.

\* \* \*

*Item # 3      Citizens to be Heard*

No comments made.

\* \* \*

*Items for Individual Consideration*

*Item # 4*      Mayor Honigblum read the following caption.

**Architectural Review Board Case No. 979F, a request of Dave Isaacs, applicant, representing Srivet Constructions USA LLC, owner, for the significance review of the existing main structure and compatibility review of the proposed design located at 630 Tuxedo Ave in order to demolish 100% of the existing structure and construct a new single-family residence with detached garage.**

Community Development Services Department Director Lety Hernandez stated the Single Family-A (SF-A) property is located at 630 Tuxedo on the south side and east of Loveta St. The applicant requests approval of the significance review of the existing main structure and compatibility review of the proposed design in order to demolish 100% of the existing structure and construct a new single-family residence with detached garage. She noted due to the full removal of the existing main structure and new construction project; it is subject to a demolition review.

Ms. Hernandez reviewed the existing site/drainage plans and proposed site plan with detached garage. She noted the existing circular drive will remain and reviewed the proposed elevations. There is an overall height of 28 feet 4 inches, composite/stone siding with standing seam metal roof. The garage has an overall height of 28 feet 4 inches with stucco/stone cladding and wooden louvers and a standing seam metal roof. She continued to review the proposed roof plans and existing/proposed streetscape. They are proposing a 33.2 lot coverage of the allowable 40%. The proposed floor to area ratio is .31. Staff will review the proposed numbers during the plan review process.

Ms. Hernandez reviewed a survey of proposed trees to be removed and stated the project is required to complete plan review process to ensure compliance with current regulations.

The Architectural Review Board (ARB) considered the request on May 20, 2025, and voted unanimously to declare the existing main structure as not significant and recommended approval of the proposed design as compatible.

Ms. Hernandez stated public notifications were mailed to property owners within a 200-foot radius. Notices were posted on the City's website and on the property. Staff received no responses regarding this case.

Councilmember Baker moved to approve ARB Case No. 979F as presented. The motion was seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Trey Jacobson and passed by a 4-0 vote.

*Item # 5* Mayor Honigblum read the following caption.

**Architectural Review Board Case No. 980F, a request of Cy Goudge of JCG Homes LLC, owner, for the significance review of the existing main structure and compatibility review of the proposed design located at 132 Normandy Ave, in order to demolish 100% of the existing structure and construct a new single-family residence with detached garage.**

Ms. Hernandez stated the Single Family-B (SF-B) property is located at 132 Normandy Ave. on the south side between Broadway St and Columbine St. The applicant requests approval of the significance review of the existing main structure and compatibility review of the proposed design in order to demolish 100% of the existing structure and construct a new single-family residence with detached garage. She noted as in the case before, due to the full removal of the existing main structure and new construction project; it is subject to a demolition review.

Ms. Hernandez reviewed the existing conditions, proposed site plan with detached garage, proposed elevations, streetscape, and renderings. There is an overall height of 27 feet 11 inches, with hardie siding and a composition shingle/standing seam metal roof. The garage has an overall height of 18 feet 3 inches with matching hardie siding and composition shingle roof. The applicant proposes a lot coverage of 31% and a maximum allowed floor to area ratio of 47%. Staff will review the proposed numbers during the plan review process.

Ms. Hernandez continued to review photos of surrounding properties and stated the project is required to complete plan review process to ensure compliance with current regulations.

The ARB considered the request on May 20, 2025, and voted unanimously to declare the existing main structure as not significant and recommended approval of the proposed design as compatible.

Ms. Hernandez stated public notifications were mailed to property owners within a 200-foot radius. Notices were posted on the City's website and on the property. Staff received no responses regarding this case.

After a brief discussion Mayor Pro Tem Jacobson moved to approve ARB Case No. 979F contingent upon the applicant meeting lot coverage and floor to area (FAR) ratios per city code. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Billa Burke and passed by a 4-0 vote.

*Item # 6* Mayor Honigblum read the following caption.

**Architectural Review Board Case No. 981F, a request of Martin Drought, applicant, representing Theresa Boggess Gouger, owner, for the significance review of the existing main structure and compatibility review of the proposed design located at 630 W Castano Ave in order to demolish 31% of the existing front street facing elevation to renovate the single-family residence.**

Ms. Hernandez stated the Single Family-A (SF-A) property is located at 630 W Castano Ave. on the south side between Loveta St and Ciruela St. The applicant requests approval of the significance review of the existing main structure and compatibility review of the proposed design in order to demolish 31% of the existing front street facing elevation to renovate the single-family residence. She noted due to the amount of demolition to the street facing elevation and because the roof is below the maximum allowed of 50%; it is subject to a demolition review.

Ms. Hernandez stated the case had gone before the Board of Adjustment for a rear yard setback variance which was granted. She reviewed the existing conditions and proposed site plan/elevations/roof plans and streetscapes. There is an overall height of 26 feet 2 inches, rock structure with composition shingle roof. She added the project is required to complete plan review process to ensure compliance with current regulations.

The ARB considered the request on May 20, 2025, and voted unanimously to declare the existing main structure as not significant and recommended approval of the proposed design as compatible.

Ms. Hernandez stated public notifications were mailed to property owners within a 200-foot radius. Notices were posted on the City's website and on the property. Staff received six responses in support and none in opposition.

Councilmember Billa Burke moved to approve ARB Case No. 981F as presented. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Baker and passed by a 4-0 vote.

Item # 7 Mayor Honigblum read the following caption.

**Architectural Review Board Case No. 984F, a request of Darleen Lassere of Flash Demolition, applicant, representing Wenhao Deng and Huan Yan, owners, to request a variance and appeal staff's decision regarding Section 5-134(b), Demolition Review Procedures that prohibits separation of the significance and compatibility review processes in order to demolish 100% of the existing main structure located at 218 Primrose Pl.**

Ms. Hernandez stated the Single Family-A (SF-A) property is located at 218 Primrose Pl. between Wintergreen Dr. and Buttercup Dr. The applicant requests approval of a variance and appeal staff's decision regarding Section 5-134(b), Demolition Review Procedures that prohibits separation of the significance and compatibility review processes in order to demolish 100% of the existing main structure. No replacement is proposed at this time. This case is subject to a demolition review due to the full removal of the existing main structure.

Ms. Hernandez commented this section of the code was recently adopted by City Council in June of 2024 and prohibits an applicant or property owner from separating significance and compatibility in the review process. She reviewed the existing conditions and surrounding properties and stated the applicant is appealing staff's decision to deny the demolition request allowing them to go forward through the ARB. A replacement structure is not proposed at this time; however, future construction of a single-family residence would be subject to Compatibility Review by the ARB and approval by City Council.

Ms. Hernandez stated public notifications were mailed to property owners within a 200-foot radius. Notices were posted on the City's website and on the property. Staff received two responses in support and none in opposition. An additional support response was received outside the 200-foot radius.

Mr. James Griffin spoke on behalf of the property owners and interested purchaser. He stated the current home was in disrepair and not significant. He noted closing on the property was contingent on the ability to be able to demolish 100% of the structure. He urged Council to consider granting this exception and allow this family to eventually build their "forever" home. He stated the applicant did not have time to design the home or go through the process.

Mayor Pro Tem Jacobson stated he did not understand the urgency to demolish the property if there was no immediate plan to build. Mr. Griffin added the purchaser is planning to build within two years. Council discussed setting up a surety bond or an escrow account ensuring the lot will be maintained.

Mr. Kuhn reminded Council the section of the code that was adopted by City Council in June of 2024 was due to the number of homes specifically in the cottage district that the community felt were significant, specifically the house on 231 Encino that is without a replacement structure. He commented he did not think a surety bond, or an escrow account was necessary; however, Council could put conditional language in a motion for the demolition where the applicant would know that they can demo the house in the future as long as they have assured a replacement structure.

Councilmember Baker stated the demolition ordinance is a demolition delay if you apply for demolition and you are denied; you wait 180 days and can demolish. He shared Council made the code change because there were many cases that came before Council where neighbors were objecting strenuously to demolitions. Council made a policy decision that was carefully considered and could be reconsidered again; however, he did not think this was a unique situation and anyone else could come and ask for the same exception to the rule.

Mayor Honigblum announced Council would go into executive session to discuss before considering this item.

\* \* \*

Closed Session

*On Item # 7* Mayor Honigblum read the following caption.

**Executive Session as authorized by the Texas Government Code Section 551.071 (Consultation with Attorney) to discuss Architectural Review Board Case No. 984F.**

The City Council of the City of Alamo Heights convened into Closed Executive Session at 6:31 p.m. and reconvened in Open Session at 6:52 p.m. in accordance with the Texas Open Meetings Act, Texas Government Code, *Section §551.071 (Consultation with Attorney)*.

\* \* \*

Open Session

*On Item # 7* Mayor Honigblum read the following caption.

**Discussion and possible action resulting from Executive Session.**

No action taken.

\* \* \*

*Item # 7 cont.* **Mayor Honigblum stated City Council would consider Architectural Review Board Case No. 984F.**

Councilmember Baker moved to deny ARB Case No. 984F as presented. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Bonner and passed by 4-0 vote.

Councilmember Baker commented Council thinks the city process, as it is currently set up, gives the assurance that no matter what happens, that a demolition permit will ultimately be issued, and we just want to preserve our process and have the applicant go through that process like everyone else by applying and going through the ARB for the compatibility and significance review.

*Item # 8* Mayor Honigblum read the following caption.

**Architectural Review Board Case No. 983F, a request of Richard Peacock, Jr of Paloma Blanca, applicant, representing Dick McCaleb of Cambridge Shopping Center, Ltd, owner, for the final review of the proposed cooler and storage addition to the existing commercial use structure located at 5800 Broadway St.**

Ms. Hernandez stated the Business District-1 (B-1) property is located at 5800 Broadway St. between Circle St and Ellwood Ave. The applicant requests approval of the final review for the proposed cooler and storage addition to the existing building. She noted on May 07, 2025, the Board of Adjustment (BOA) approved a variance to double the frontage regulation.

Ms. Hernandez reviewed a parcel location of the property stating the property fronts Circle and Fenimore in addition to Broadway. The proposed addition is on the Circle Street side. She reviewed the existing conditions noting an existing detached accessory structure approved several years ago. She continued reviewing the proposed site plan, elevations and renderings. The proposed height is 15 feet 4 inches. The roofing materials were not provided; however, it will have a hardy artisan and groove plank siding.

Ms. Hernandez stated the project is required to complete plan review process to ensure that they are not exceeding what was granted by the BOA.

The ARB considered the request on May 20, 2025, and voted unanimously to recommend approval of the proposed design as submitted.

Ms. Hernandez stated public notifications were mailed to property owners within a 200-foot radius. Notices were posted on the City's website and on the property. Staff received six responses in support and none in opposition.

Councilmember Billa Burke moved to approve ARB Case No. 983F as presented. The motion was seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Jacobson and passed by a 4-0 vote.

*Item # 9* Mayor Honigblum read the following caption.

**Architectural Review Board Case No. 967F; 975-978F, a request of Joshua Ficarra, applicant, representing The San Antonio Country Club, owner, for the final review in order to construct and expand the existing paved parking lot located at 137 Burr Rd, 141 Burr Rd, 149 Burr Rd, 151 Burr Rd, 159 Burr Rd.**

Ms. Hernandez stated the Multi-Family (MF-D), and Parking (P) property is located at 137 Burr Rd, 141 Burr Rd, 149 Burr Rd, 151 Burr Rd, 159 Burr Rd, on the north side, west of N New Braunfels. The applicant requests approval of the final review in order to construct/expand the existing paved parking lot.

Ms. Hernandez provided some background information stating in 2023 the applicant rezoned one of the parcels to combine three parcels into one, as it currently exists today. The following year, 2024, the applicant came before the Planning and Zoning Commission (P&Z) to rezone the properties. The Commission recommended approval with the condition that the parking improvements met all zoning requirements. Recently, that completed the replatting of the addresses noted, the plat has been released for recordation and returned.

Ms. Hernandez reviewed the existing/proposed site plan, demolition plan, storm water pollution prevention plan, grading/drainage plan, tree preservation/landscaping plan, irrigation plan, and proposed expansion plan. She noted the applicant is currently working on some revisions for the tree preservation/landscaping plan staff requested. On the proposed expansion, the applicant has added an exit entrance from Burr Rd as part of the ARB review. The project is currently completing the plan review process to ensure compliance with current regulations and addressing minor revisions.

The ARB considered the request on May 20, 2025, and voted unanimously to recommend approval as presented.

Ms. Hernandez stated public notifications were mailed to property owners within a 200-foot radius. Notices were posted on the City's website and on the property. Staff received five responses in support and none in opposition.

Mayor Pro Tem Jacobson moved to approve ARB Case No. 967F; 975-978F as presented. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Bonner and passed by a 4-0 vote.

*Item # 10* Mayor Honigblum read the following caption.

**RESOLUTION NO. 2025R - 215**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ALAMO HEIGHTS, TEXAS, AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ISSUE TASK ORDER NO. 001 UNDER THE EXISTING MASTER SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH ARDURRA GROUP, INC. FOR ENGINEERING DESIGN AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT SERVICES FOR THE INSTALLATION OF NEW WATER SERVICE LINES FOR THE 4 X 2 WATER SERVICE RELOCATION PROJECT; AND SETTING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.**

Director of Public Works Frank Orta stated staff is seeking engineering design, cost estimating and project management services to complete final phase of water service relocation. The city is finalizing the installation of newly located water mains and service runs to properties as part of its continued effort to remedy the proximity of water and sewer main lines. He stated these changes were mandated by Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), dubbed the "4 X 2 Project" to separate sanitary sewer and potable water mains with a deadline of January 2030. The completion of Phases 1 & 2 (mains and service runs to meter boxes) is anticipated by end of June 2025. He added, the agreement with Ardurra will facilitate final connection of new mains/service runs to the properties.

Mr. Orta stated three distinct phases are needed to relocate water service to the street from the current location in City's easement at rear of properties. Phase 1 – Relocated water mains in street, Phase 2 – Service runs from mains to new meter box at edge of ROW, and Phase 3 – Yard piping from new meter to existing line into rear of property and connecting water service. The key project areas include Blue Bonnet Hills – Completed Phase 1 water main installation via contract & Phase 2 installation of service runs performed in-house forces and Sylvan Hills – Phases 1 & 2 installed by City forces, with final portion completed by late June



2025, Phase 3 is the actual yard piping from new meters to existing lines in the rear property to the front of the property and connecting new service lines.

Mr. Orta reviewed the project scope that includes developing a typical cost estimate for one (1) service to relocate yard piping from the existing water meter located in the back yard/alley to the new meter located in the front yard and develop plans for RFP. The project will include approximately 417 properties and is consistent with the City's practice to negotiate fees for professional services. Additionally, this request is consistent with the City's interest in having professional expertise to design and manage key infrastructure enhancements and renovations, particularly those projects included within the Utility Water and Wastewater asset compliance and maintenance.

Staff coordinated with the City Engineer (Ardurra), City Attorney, and City Manager on this request. Task Order No. 001 authorizes payment in amount of \$82,045 to Ardurra for engineering design and project management services to relocate Water Service Lines for 4 X 2 Compliance. The amount is budgeted in the Utility Fund. Future Council action is required to authorize the construction contract.

Mayor Honigblum was concerned with accidental boring of service/sewer or utility lines. Mr. Kuhn clarified staff is requesting approval of \$82,045 for engineering services from Ardurra who will create a plan for this project. He acknowledge it is a big project and explained Ardurra will help minimize risk by locating the current lines for approximately 417 residences and designing a plan for the contractor.

Councilmember Billa Burke moved to approve Resolution No. 2025R – 215 as presented. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Bonner and passed by a 4-0 vote.

\* \* \*

#### Staff Report

*Item # 11* Mayor Honigblum read the following caption.

#### **Staff report on the Alamo Heights Pool Pocket Park**

Mr. Kuhn stated he had been collaborating with Mayor Honigblum and Councilmember Lawson Jessee on the proposed Alamo Heights Pool pocket park design which will be outside the existing pool area. It will include a roofed shade structure, seating, park area, low scale playscapes, perimeter fencing, and restrooms. City Council previously approved Ford, Powell & Carson (FPC) to design and provide construction support for the project. He stated FPC would be presenting preliminary designs based on input from the Council subcommittee, pool operator Clayton Smaistrle and city staff.

Architect Mark Henderson of FPC introduced the design team and presented the plan moving forward for the public space/pocket park. He reviewed the existing pool area and parking lot noting there would be a loss of 16 parking spots. He introduced Architect Caleb Etheredge of Coral Studio who reviewed the proposed plan for the pocket park incorporating a social and sustainable design with drop off, ADA access, and safety fencing.

Architect Nathan Perez of FPC reviewed renderings of the proposed park design incorporating the existing mid-century architecture currently in place. He stated the existing historic architecture inspired their design. He noted the shade feature played is an important part of the design and presented images of varied materials/masonry that could be used.

Mr. Etheredge presented proposed play area concepts, furniture renderings and landscaping plant palette. Mayor Honigblum requested not to include “century plants” in the design as a safety precaution for young children.

Council discussed the use of natural turf or artificial turf, the color scheme, and lighting. Mr. Kuhn commented the color scheme, and detailed design will come later, at this time staff is seeking Council’s thoughts on the direction thus far. Council agreed to continue with the design’s general direction and thanked the architects for their help.

Item # 12 Mayor Honigblum read the following caption.

**Staff report on Street Condition Index and Maintenance Plan**

Mr. Orta stated the city’s asset management program is under development to inventory, assess, and plan for public infrastructure maintenance. The city contracted with Ardurra Group for a street network condition assessment and analysis. The assessment generated a score for the condition of each city street, or Pavement Condition Index (PCI). The PCI provides a tool to plan street maintenance priorities, approaches (rehab vs. preservation) and provides estimated costs.

The pavement network consists of 41 centerline miles which were evaluated and scored between 0-100 with 100 being in great condition and 0 being in poor. The streets throughout the city were split into 496 distinct street segments. Overall, the streets scored high at 76%, good/satisfactory.

Mr. Orta reviewed images of good – poor street segments and map of recommended Street Maintenance Program for the next six years. He stated a street network management plan will use a two-pronged strategy of pavement Preservation & Structural Rehabilitation. Preservation – applications applied on streets in good & satisfactory condition, even recently rehabbed streets. These are crack seals (in-house), fog seals and slurry seals (contract) applied 2 to 5 years on rehabbed streets and extends road 2 to 7 years. Structural Rehabilitation – applications applied on streets in fair and poor condition. Mill and overlay or, rarely, a full-depth reclamation (all contract). This is applied when the road begin to deteriorate; extends road 10 to 25 years.

Mr. Orta reviewed new preservation approaches. A fog seal is applied 2 to 5 years after mill and overlay to extend the life 2 to 3 years. It is a low cost, quick application that seals and rejuvenates street surface. Cure time is 1-hour, short service life (2-3 years), often used in conjunction with other efforts. A slurry seal is applied 5 years after mill and overlay to extend the street life 5 to 7 years. It is a fast application, medium cost for surface life return that seals and protects streets. Cure time is 4-5 hours longer; it is a slightly rougher surface than new asphalt and may have streaky appearance. He shared projected costs for street preservation and rehabilitation.

The street network condition's first component of the Asset Management Program is recommended every 3 years. Future expansions to the program will inventory, assess condition and plan maintenance for other City public infrastructure.

This will include:

- 2026 – Alley network
- 2027 – Pavement markings, traffic boxes & lights, guard rails, & traffic & street signs
- 2028 – Utility network, including water & sewer infrastructure
- 2029 – Storm water system, including drain boxes, inlets, outfalls & natural creek ways

Council briefly discussed projected cost estimates and thanked Mr. Orta for presenting.

Item # 13 Mayor Honigblum read the following caption.

**Presentation of Financial and Investment Report for the second quarter ending March 31, 2025**

Council and staff thanked Interim Finance Director Cynthia Barr for stepping in to help the city with the finance department. Ms. Barr presented the second quarter financial and investment report ending March 31, 2025. The report focused on the General Fund Revenues & Expenditures, Utility Fund Revenues & Expenditures, Capital Projects Fund, Investment Portfolio Update, and Summary of City's Financial Position. The General Fund total revenue ended at \$10,060,283, equal to 74% of the budget. Ms. Barr noted revenues are doing really well. Property tax collections are currently over \$6,090,671. The sales tax collection is at \$920,493 for the first six months, which is an increase of \$77,655 as compared to last year at this time.

Ms. Barr stated the General Fund expenditures are currently at \$6.3M or 48% of the budget for the year. All departments are attempting to stay within budget. Net revenues were \$3.7M over expenditures.

The Utility Fund total revenues ended the quarter at \$2.4M or 44% of the budget. Total expenditures were \$1.8M or 34% of the budget with revenue over expenses of \$549,194 for the Utility Fund.

Ms. Barr stated the Capital Projects Fund beginning fund balance for the fiscal year was \$16,063,555, which includes the proceeds from the 2021 Bond Issuance of \$13,250,000. An interest of \$352,151 was earned from investments. After expenses of \$24,321, the fund balance ended the quarter at \$16,391,385.

Ms. Barr reviewed the investment portfolio which includes 0.7% of funds in a CD with Jefferson Bank. She stated 19.5% of the portfolios are made up of individual bonds with the Federal Home Loan Bank and Farm Federal Farm Credit Bank. The investment pools equal \$28.8M or 79% of the fund. The overall investment portfolio balance is \$36,518,427. The average yield for the investment portfolio was 4.50% on the Federal Home Loan Bonds and 5.00% on the Federal Farm Credit Bank. She noted Frost Bank pledges securities in the name of the City to cover the balance over the FDIC limit of \$250,000. The investment pools average of 4.21% is in line with the 90-Day U.S. Treasury benchmark of 4.85%. Ms. Barr stated the report

complies with the investment strategies established by the City of Alamo Heights Investment Policy and the Public Funds Investment Act (Chapter 2256).

In closing, Ms. Barr reviewed the 2nd Quarter Performance Summary:

	% of Budget	Current Quarter	YTD Quarter
<b>GENERAL FUND</b>			
Total Revenues	74%	Positive	Positive
Total Expenditures	48%	Positive	Positive
Total Property Tax Collections	91%	Positive	Positive
Sales Tax Revenues	54%	Positive	Positive
<b>ENTERPRISE FUND</b>			
Utility Fund Revenues	44%	Negative	Positive
Utility Fund Expenditures	34%	Positive	Positive

\*

\*

\*

With no further business to consider, Councilmember Bonner moved to adjourn the meeting at 8:06 p.m. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Billa Burke and passed by unanimous vote.

**PASSED AND APPROVED THIS 9<sup>th</sup> DAY OF JUNE, 2025.**

  
Elsa T. Robles, TRMC  
City Secretary



  
Albert Honigbolum  
Mayor